#### Video Laryngoscopy in the Field

-

Terence Valenzuela MD MPH Tucson Fire Department



### Short (Very) Hx of Laryngoscopy

- 1888 Alfred Kirstein first described direct visualization of the vocal cords
- 1913 Henry H. Janeway creates laryngoscope with a distal light source, batteries within the handle, a central notch in the blade, and a slight curve to the distal tip of the blade to help guide the tube through the glottis
- $\circ$  1941 Robert A Miller invents the Miller lade
- 1943 Robert Reynolds Macintosh invents the Macintosh Blade
- Miller clan and Macintosh clan feud over patent rights from 1953 through to present



Why is tracheal intubation with direct laryngoscopy so difficult?









# Line of sight from eye to glottis is required









## Challenging Anatomy







#### Video Laryngoscopy

 Laryngoscope with a video camera on the blade that transmits real-time images of the glottis to an external monitor.

 Tracheal intubation performed viewing monitor screen rather than viewing directly through the mouth



Advantages of VL in Tracheal Intubation

Direct line of sight not required
Can see around the tongue
Structures are magnified
Less deterioration of image by body fluids (blood, vomit, sangria)



#### Camera Image Behind the Tongue







#### Structures Magnified





#### Disadvantages of VL

Different technique for more angulated blades of VL
More or less retraining necessary
Maneuvering endotracheal tube to target (glottis) more or less different/difficult because of steeper approach
Stylets match "bend" of VL blade



#### Steeper Angle of Attack

Undersurface of the epiglottis

False vocal cords, vestibular folds

Glottis

Arytenoid complex True vocal cords





Does it pick up peanuts? (does it work?)







Hasegawa K Association between repeated intubation attempts and adverse events in emergency departments. Ann Emerg Med. 2012 Dec;60(6):749-754.

#### Intubation Attempts & Adverse Events



#### Adverse Events [AE]

Hypoxemia, hypotension, dysrhythmia, cardiac arrest, aspiration, unrecognized esophageal intubation, RMS intubation, airway injury.



Sakles JC A comparison of the C-MAC video laryngoscope to the Macintosh direct laryngoscope for intubation in the emergency Ann Emerg Med. 2012 Dec;60(6):739-48

ED Intubations 750

Macintosh DL Successful 418/495 84% 95% CI [81%, 87%] C-MAC VL Successful 248/245 97% 95% CI [94%, 99%]



Sakles JC Ann Emerg Med. 2012 Dec;60(6):739-48

 CMAC vs Macintosh
 Grade I or II view obtained: 117/125 93%; 95% CI (88%,97%) vs 410/495 83%; 95% (79%,86%).
 First-attempt success (odds ratio 2.2; 95% CI 1.2 to 3.8)



Device	Name	Company	Power Source	Cost
C GLDESCOPE' Rarger	GlideScope Ranger	Verathon	Rechargeable	\$\$\$
	C-MAC PM	Karl Storz	Rechargeable	\$\$
	Pentax AWS	Pentax Medical	AA Batteries	\$\$\$
all.	King Vision	King Systems	AAA batteries	\$
	McGrath Series 5	McGrath	AA battery	\$\$



#### References

- Hasegawa K Association between repeated intubation attempts and adverse events in emergency departments. Ann Emerg Med. 2012 Dec; 60(6):749-754.
- Sakles JC A comparison of the C-MAC video laryngoscope to the Macintosh direct laryngoscope for intubation in the emergency <u>Ann Emerg Med. 2012</u> <u>Dec;60(6):739-48</u>



Mobile Integrated Healthcare Network, Screen Door Manufacturing and Communal Living Experiment





Concerning Code 3: Burt and Edna slam on the brakes and are rearended by six vehicles

